

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/932,381	08/17/2001	Serge Lasserre	TI-31354	4434	
23494	7590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			BRAGDON, REGINALD GLENWOOD		
DALLAS, T			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2188		
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	· //	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/932,381	LASSERRE, SERGI	E
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Reginald G. Bragdon	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meters of the calculation of the calculation of the calculation. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: Applicant's amendments to the claims w	ould require further search and/or c	consideration.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		•	_
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: 3,4,6-10 and 14-16.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5,11-13 and 17-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		0	
		Kegmall D. G	Bragdon
		Reginald G. Bragdo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2188	on

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Part of Paper No. 11

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/932,381

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant should note that the effective filing date of the Nakagawa et al. reference is the filing date of the parent application (12/1/98), in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 120, well before the filing date of the present application.